Abstract
The island of Grenada is composed of a mixture of monogenetic and polygenetic volcanic centres, none of which has erupted during the historical period. The abundance of reworked volcaniclastic deposits throughout the island limits the reconstruction of the island’s volcanic history and hampers hazard assessment. Monogenetic explosion craters define a NE-SW trend across the island, and it is possible that similar craters may develop along this line in the future. Mt. St. Catherine is considered to be the only live polygenetic volcano on Grenada based on its well-preserved morphology, the presence of associated fumaroles on its flanks and the occurrence of volcanic earthquakes in the past. Its volcanic deposits indicate that both effusive (dome-building) and explosive eruptions are possible. The types of volcanic activity expected in the future are steam venting, phreatic explosions and lava dome-building eruptions from a vent located within the breached crater at the summit. Such activity may result in pyroclastic flows and surges, lahars and ash fall which will likely affect villages located to the east and west of the volcano. Explosive eruptions would impact a much wider area but are considered to be less likely to occur in the near future.

Introduction
In assessing the volcanic hazard likely to be posed by future eruptions on Grenada, this chapter takes an empirical approach utilising both published reports on the geology of the island and fieldwork conducted by the author. In attempting to define the most likely styles of eruption possible on the island, consideration is given to the historical seismicity and geothermal activity along with the geologic record of past eruptions. The style of activity exhibited by volcanoes elsewhere in the region is also considered.

Geographical Setting
Grenada is an oval shaped island, elongate in a north-east to south-west direction. It is approximately 34 km long and 19 km wide with a total area of 312 km$^2$. It has a rugged topography reaching a maximum height of 910 m at the Mt. St. Catherine volcanic centre. Central peaks stretch along the length of the island. Apart from Mt. St. Catherine, the principal peaks from north to south are Mt. Granby (683 m), Mt. Qua Qua (640 m), South East Mt. (703 m), Mt. Lebanon (700 m), Mt. Sinai (703 m) and Mt. Maitland (522 m). The island is asymmetric, with the western side of the island being well dissected with deep, sharply cut valleys forming a well-indented coastline, while the east coast slopes gently to the sea. The population is 97,000, with the majority located in and around the capital of St. George’s. The economy is based largely on agriculture and tourism.

Previous work
Prior to an extensive study carried out by Arculus (1973), no detailed work had been done on the geology of Grenada. Harrison (1896) gave the first account of the geology of the island. Earle (1924) commented on the folded lower Tertiary basement and noted the presence of gypsum in some horizons. He recognised the occurrence of clinopyroxene-rich basalt and cumulate plutonic blocks. Martin-Kaye (1969) did further work on the lower Tertiary basement and was able to determine the stratigraphic relationships and ages of units using the fossil faunal content. He also noted the approximate north-east trend of the explosion craters, suggesting that these may be related to a possible fault zone. Robson and Tomblin (1966) gave a brief account of the overlying volcanics and noted the unusually mafic nature of the volcanic products. Andrew et al. (1970) conducted a gravity survey of the entire island.

Arculus and Curran (1972) presented evidence from lavas in Grenada which suggested a source area in the Upper Mantle for the genesis of the calc-alkaline suite. They noted that there was a complete petrographic and chemical continuity between the undersaturated magmas of basanite and alkali-olivine basaltic affinities and the typical calc-alkaline lavas found elsewhere in Grenada and the other islands of the Lesser Antillean Arc. Arculus (1973) conducted an extensive study of the Miocene-Recent rocks of the island as part of a programme of research into the problems of island arc volcanology, petrology and geochemistry initiated in the 1960s.

Cawthorn et al. (1973) used experimental and chemical data to conclude that the unusual differentiation trend of Grenadian rocks (from Ne-normative through hypersthen-normative to quartz-normative) is a result of amphibole fractionation under intermediate pressures in the presence of water. Sigurdsson et
al. (1973) examined the evidence for undersaturated magmas derived from partial melting of the Upper Mantle on the island of Grenada.

Shimizu and Arculus (1975) presented Rare Earth Element (REE) data in support of partial melting of a garnet lherzolite source to produce the range of basanitoid and alkalic basalt rocks observed on Grenada. Arculus (1976) discussed the field occurrence and major and trace element geochemistry of the Grenada suite of rocks. He indicated that there was an intimate field relationship of silica-undersaturated lava rocks with silica-saturated calc-alkaline andesite and dacite.

Brown et al. (1977) described the results of a regional geochemical survey based on new rock analyses. They felt that partial melting of upper mantle peridotite above the subducted slab was responsible for the generation of the basanitoids. Arculus (1978) described the petrology and mineralogy of the lavas on Grenada and made some estimates of the physical conditions prevailing during the genesis and evolution of the suite. Major and trace element analyses were reported by Arculus (1973, 1976) and Hawkesworth et al. (1979) presented Sr-Nd isotope and REE analyses of Grenada.

Graham (1980) examined the genesis of the igneous rock suite of Grenada. Two distinct basaltic series were identified (Thirlwall and Graham 1984) corresponding to ankaramites and microphyric basalts distinguished by Hawkesworth et al. (1979). These were renamed the C- and M-series, respectively, which could not be related to each other by a one stage process (Thirlwall and Graham 1984). Thirlwall and Graham (1984) examined the evolution of the high Ca, high-Sr, C-series basalts from Grenada, looking particularly at the effects of intra-crustal contamination. They concluded that the major element variation in Grenada C-series basalts was controlled by high-level fractional crystallisation of an augite-plagioclase-olivine-magnetite assemblage.

Arculus and Wills (1980) described the petrology of plutonic blocks from the Lesser Antilles including Grenada. They noted that the strong trace element (Arculus 1976) and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr-¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd (Hawkesworth et al. 1979) characteristics of different volcanic series in the same centres on Grenada suggested that the inclusions were related to their host lava sequence.

Devine (1995) presented a comprehensive revision of the petrogenesis of the basalt-andesite-dacite association of Grenada. He restored the data bases of Brown et al. (1977) and Arculus (1973), which had been shown to contain analytical errors (Graham 1980; Thirlwall and Graham 1984) and supported the inference that the Grenada M-series basalt-andesite-dacite suite was largely the product of fractional crystallisation of high-MgO basalt (Arculus 1973, 1976; Devine 1987). Devine (1995) indicated that there was little evidence to suggest that assimilation of crustal material or magma mixing plays an important role in the evolution of M-series magmas.

Geotermica Italiana (1991) undertook an investigation of the geothermal resources on Grenada and yielded additional information on the island with new geological sketch maps and age determinations being completed. Van Soest et al. (1998) presented data on helium and carbon relationships from Grenada as part of a regional survey of geothermal fluids from the Lesser Antilles island arc.

Grenada is unusual in the Caribbean in its abundance of monogenetic basaltic explosion craters and is unusual among arc volcanoes in general in its abundance of highly magnesian and silica-undersaturated basalts. It consists of a folded lower Tertiary volcaniclastic and sedimentary basement (the Tufton Hall formation) overlain by an approximately 900 m (2500 ft) thick sequence of eroded but tectonically undisturbed Miocene to Recent volcanic rocks (Arculus 1976). Arculus (1973, 1976) divided the Miocene to Recent volcaniclastic rocks into a number of volcanic centres including: the Northern Domes, Southwest Grenada, Southeast Grenada, Mt. Sinai, Mt. Moritz, Mt. Maitland; Mt. Granby-Fedon’s Camp, Mt. St. Catherine and the Explosion Craters. Geotermica Italiana (1991) also subdivided the volcanic formations but used slightly different boundaries. The description used in this chapter follows that of Arculus (1973, 1976) with the incorporation of information provided by Geotermica Italiana (1991).
Geological map of Grenada
**Tufton Hall Formation**

The oldest rocks on Grenada belong to the Tufton Hall Formation and comprise a well-bedded sequence of Eocene calcareous shales, siltstones and sandstones that contain foraminiferal fauna dated as Upper Eocene to Lower Oligocene in age (Martin-Kaye 1961). The formation contains tuffaceous horizons and thin limestone and generally surrounds the northern dome centres. The presence of allochthonous volcanic minerals and tuffaceous horizons indicate that igneous activity was already taking place in the Eocene and probably continued into the Oligocene (Arculus 1973).

**Leversa Island Centre**

This area is referred to as the Mt. Craven-Prospect area by Briden et al. (1979) suggested that this area contained the oldest volcanic rocks on the island (21.2 Ma at Mt Craven) but 39Ar/40Ar dates of 1.3-1.8 Ma obtained for similar samples by Speed et al. (1993) have cast doubt on this age. Although prominent above the surrounding terrain, the domes reach a maximum height of only 280 m. No summit craters remain, but Arculus (1973) suggests that the generally circular distribution of the andesite domes at the northern dome centres. The presence of allochthonous volcanic minerals and tuffaceous horizons indicate that igneous activity was already taking place in the Eocene and probably continued into the Oligocene (Arculus 1973).

**Levera Hill centre**

The composition and state of weathering of the deposits at this centre suggest that it was probably formed during the same general period of activity described for the domes above. Radiometric dating by Geotermica Italiana (1991) gave an age of 71 Ma (upper Miocene) for a rock sample from Levera Hill (848 ft), a hornblende andesite dome surrounded by the remnants of a pyroxene-phryc basalt flow. On the northern flanks of Levera Hill are two smaller dome structures exposed at Helvellyn and Dead Man’s Hill. The Helvellyn dome intrudes brecciated fragments of the Tufton Hall Formation. The volcanic history of this centre appears to have included the initial extrusion of a variety of M-series basalt and andesite lavas that were intruded by the domes of Helvellyn and Dead Man’s Hill, causing local brecciation of the lavas. Renewed activity with the extrusion of pyroxene-phryc C-series basalt flows culminated in the extrusion of Levera Hill dome, which caused brecciation around the flanks, and intermixing of the previously erupted rock units (Arculus 1973).

**Southwest Grenada**

This area is generally low-lying (maximum height 200 m) and is composed of reworked volcanic deposits interlayered with basalt lava flows. Many of the lavas are deeply weathered, but some were originally columnar jointed. Fresh samples have been dated at 3.7-3.5 Ma (Briden et al. 1979). Lava flows and reworked

---

**K-Ar Radiometric age determinations of rocks from Grenada**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location (N, °)</th>
<th>Rock Type</th>
<th>Age±error (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GG6</td>
<td>N. of Gouyave</td>
<td>12° 10.2'; 61° 43.7'</td>
<td>Dacite</td>
<td>1.6±0.14'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG20</td>
<td>Block from Lake Antoine</td>
<td>12° 10.9'; 61° 35.8'</td>
<td>Olivine basalt</td>
<td>1.65±0.19'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG24</td>
<td>From the top of Levera Hill</td>
<td>12° 13.0'; 61° 37.3'</td>
<td>Andesite dome</td>
<td>7.13±0.1'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG31</td>
<td>Mt. Hope</td>
<td>12° 09.5'; 61° 40.2'</td>
<td>Dacite dome</td>
<td>1.0±0.1'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6928084</td>
<td>Grand Mal</td>
<td>12° 04.6'; 61° 45.2'</td>
<td>Olivine basalt lava</td>
<td>1.47±0.12'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6928159</td>
<td>La Borie</td>
<td>12° 02.5'; 61° 43.6'</td>
<td>Olivine basalt lava</td>
<td>1.45±0.08'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6928162</td>
<td>St. Paulus</td>
<td>12° 02.9'; 61° 43.7'</td>
<td>Olivine basalt lava</td>
<td>1.43±0.08'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6928196</td>
<td>N. of Mt. Royal</td>
<td>12° 03.4'; 61° 44.7'</td>
<td>Olivine basalt lava</td>
<td>0.98±0.10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6928240</td>
<td>Marigot</td>
<td>12° 07.7'; 61° 45.0'</td>
<td>Olivine basalt lava</td>
<td>0.94±0.11'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6928286</td>
<td>Richmond Hill</td>
<td>12° 03.0'; 61° 44.3'</td>
<td>Olivine basalt lava</td>
<td>1.68±0.07'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6928371</td>
<td>S. of Ross Point</td>
<td>12° 02.2'; 61° 45.3'</td>
<td>Olivine basalt lava</td>
<td>3.77±0.11'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6928380</td>
<td>Goat Point</td>
<td>12° 01.4'; 61° 46.6'</td>
<td>Olivine basalt lava</td>
<td>3.56±0.10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RJA2267</td>
<td>Near Belvedere</td>
<td>12° 07.7'; 61° 41.1'</td>
<td>Olivine basalt lava</td>
<td>3.31±0.16'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RJA344</td>
<td>Mt Ellington</td>
<td>12° 11.3'; 61° 40.5'</td>
<td>Olivine basalt lava</td>
<td>2.36±0.11'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RJA307</td>
<td>Annandale Falls</td>
<td>12° 05.2'; 61° 43.1'</td>
<td>Olivine basalt lava</td>
<td>14.0±0.4'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RJA528</td>
<td>Morn Delice</td>
<td>12° 02.2'; 61° 43.0'</td>
<td>Olivine basalt lava</td>
<td>10.3±0.3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RJA531</td>
<td>South East Mtn</td>
<td>12° 05.9'; 61° 40.2'</td>
<td>Olivine basalt lava</td>
<td>10.9±0.3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RJA341</td>
<td>Diego Piece Crayfish</td>
<td>12° 12.1'; 61° 41.0'</td>
<td>Basalt</td>
<td>1.89±0.09'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RJA354</td>
<td>Mt. Craven</td>
<td>12° 12.9'; 61° 39.2'</td>
<td>Andesite dome</td>
<td>21.3±1.0'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of data: 1 Geotermica Italiana (1981); 2 Briden et al. (1979)
Mt. Sinai-Mt. Maitland-Mt. Moritz Volcanic Centre

This centre is characterised by subdued topography and discontinuous units. It can be divided into two main areas by the St. John's River, which flows from NE to SW and divides Mt. Maitland from Mt. Moritz. Mt. Sinai is the highest of these centres (~ 703 m), and elevation decreases westwards. This also appears to have been the dominant direction of lava flows whose path may have been blocked by the South East Mountain. The oldest rock analysed from this centre is a 14 Ma basalt (Briden et al. 1979) from Annandale Falls, but Speed et al. (1993) have suggested that this date is suspect.

Basaltic lava flows dominate surface outcrops. Andesitic and dacitic material is found only as fragments in reworked volcanics interlayered with some of the lava flows. A rare ultrabasic lava flow is exposed at Mt. Gay (1 km north of St. George's). The flow has baked the underlying pyroclastic deposit, and both the massive and fragmental material exhibits columnar jointing. Generally there appears to be a gradual transition from silica-undersaturated to silica-saturated compositions with elevation. For example, the ultrabasic flow at Mt. Gay is succeeded at greater elevations on Mt. Maitland by pyroxene-phyric lava flows of greater silica content (Arculus 1973).

The northern and northeastern ridges of this centre contain mainly pyroxene-phyric basalt flows, some of which extend for 2 km towards Richmond. The summit of Mt. Lebanon and surrounding slopes appear to comprise a weathered andesite dome. The southern ridge of Mt. Lebanon consists of a lava flow that extends for 1 km. At Munich, angular andesite boundaries suggest the presence of an old lava flow.

Reworked volcaniclastic deposits form a large part of the area, particularly along the west coastal strip from St. George's to Gouyave. These deposits are widespread and appear to be the...
remnants of the earliest period of volcanism at this centre. They consist of graded and ungraded beds that contain a wide variety of clasts of different sizes and compositions. Some deposits exhibit current bedding and others display sufficient homogeneity in composition and lateral extent to suggest that they are primary tephra fall deposits.

The area exhibits inverted topography in some areas with younger valley filling lavas now capping ridges. The basaltic lava flows are in cases up to 5 km long. They have massive and often columnar jointed interiors with vesicular and platy flow tops overlain by rubble. They often have steep (25°) dips and are interleaved with basaltic ashes which are sometimes baked and reddened by the overlying flow. The lengths of andesite lava flows are comparable with basaltic flows but they may reach up to 70 m in thickness.

so it is more likely that these airfall deposits originated from Mt. St. Catherine.

Rock types of this centre vary from basanitoid to dacite but are predominantly of andesite to dacite. The range of compositions from silica-undersaturated to oversaturated is similar to that of Mt. Granby-Fedon’s Camp Volcanic Centre, but without as many repetitions of the sequence.

Ash fall deposits with bomb sag at Plaisance Estate in northern Grenada.

Explosion Craters
The most recent activity on the island consisted of the formation of several small craters. They are morphologically well-preserved centres of basanitoid, basaltic and andesitic scoria fall and flows, which were formed during the most recent period of volcanic activity on the island. The craters have a maar to tuff ring type morphology, generally 0.5 km in diameter, their size likely depending on the amount of groundwater present during eruptions. Most are composed of silica-undersaturated alkali basalt scoria and ash along with a variety of basement and wall rock fragments. There are no lava flows associated with these craters. Devine (1995) noted that the most recent eruption produced a scoria cone near Radix valley that may be less than 1000 years old.

Grenada is characterised tectonically by two conjugate fault trends (Martin-Kaye 1969; Arculus 1976). The main one runs NNE-SSW and corresponds to the elongation of the island; the other is approximately normal to the main trend. Martin-Kaye (1967) and Arculus (1976) have suggested that the orientation of the explosion craters may be controlled by the main NNE-SSW fault trend.
Lake Antoine
Lake Antoine is the best preserved of the craters. A lake 500 m in diameter occupies the crater floor, which is surrounded by a 60 m high tuff ring composed of ejected blocks, scoria and ash. Arculus (1973) noted that the overall morphology is that of maars, which are structures associated with explosive activity of hydrous magma or contact between magma and local groundwater.

In general the ash fall sequence surrounding Lake Antoine thickens towards Grenada Bay. The maximum size of the ejected blocks also increases to 50 cm in diameter in this direction. The sequence contains evidence of several pulses of activity represented by repetitions of graded layers of ash, interspersed with layers of larger scoria and bombs. The earliest deposits, which are exposed at the southern end of the High Cliff Point, are predominantly of alkali basalt scoria and ash. They also contain fragments of andesitic composition and blocks of previously consolidated ash. Some layers contain bomb impact structures with distortion of bedding.

The Punchbowl crater
Located 3 km northwest of Lake Antoine, this is of smaller diameter (100 m) and steep-sided. The crater walls reach up to 30 m high and slope at angles up to 60°. The ejecta that comprises the crater walls is dominated by wall-rock fragments, although weathered basaltic ash is also present.

Grand Etang consists of two explosion craters, one of which is filled by a lake. It is located at an altitude of 500 m near to Mt. Qua Qua and Mt. Sinai. Most of the ash associated with these craters has been deeply weathered to form a red lateritic soil (Arculus 1973).

Grand Etang explosion crater viewed from the east

St. George’s
A series of coalescing explosion craters, including the basin that is outlined by the Carenage, are located in this area.

Queens Park
This is a well-preserved crater that erupted alkali basalt scoria and ash. The crater deposits are currently being quarried. The ejecta from this centre is found as far north as Mt. Moritz.

In addition to the well-preserved craters outlined above, there are localised deposits of coarse scoria and ash of relatively young appearance that cannot be associated with any recognisable crater. Their composition (alkali basalt) and unweathered state suggest that they are associated with the same type and period of activity as the craters described above. These deposits are found at Grand Anse Bay, Plaisance Estate and Grenville. It is also possible that Levera Pond located in the northeast of the island occupies the floor of another explosion crater.

Volcano monitoring
Mount St. Catherine is monitored by the Seismic Research Unit of the University of the West Indies using a network of stations that study ground deformation, seismicity, and geochemistry of hot springs and fumaroles. The monitoring network consists of two seismic and eight GPS stations (Robertson and David 2002) and also involves the collection of gas, water and condensate from fumaroles located on the flanks of Mount St. Catherine. The GPS network was mainly designed to monitor possible ground deformation associated with Mount St. Catherine. In choosing the sites, however, consideration was given to detection of possible activity associated with the explosion craters at Levera Pond, Lake Antoine and Grand Etang. A small volcano observatory located at Sauteurs in the north of the island serves as the collection point for signals from the seismic network on Grenada. The data are accessed from Trinidad via the Internet.

MONOGENETIC AND POLYGENETIC VOLCANISM
Volcanoes formed during single episodes of volcanic activity, without subsequent eruptions, are referred to as monogenetic. Monogenetic volcanoes are commonly clustered within volcanic fields or may constitute linear chains that follow tectonic structures, such as faults. Over long periods of time, monogenetic volcanic activity can result in extensive volcanic fields consisting of hundreds to thousands of individual volcanoes and cumulative volumes approaching those of individual composite volcanoes. Monogenetic volcanism usually occurs because the magma supply for the volcano is so small or episodic that any pathways to the surface have cooled down and are no longer favoured routes for the next magma batch. In contrast, polygenetic volcanoes have a sufficiently large and persistent magma supply rate that an ascending magma is able to follow the still-hot pathway of the preceding batch. Polygenetic volcanoes therefore erupt repeatedly from the same vent and lead to the formation of large composite structures. Grenada is unusual in the Caribbean region in the abundance of monogenetic volcanic centres. These centres define a NNE to SSW trend and often contain lakes.
Volcanic activity climaxed with the partial infilling of the crater by an andesitic dome. Subsequent erosion and weathering has so altered the deposits of Mt. St. Catherine that it is difficult to correlate the pyroclastic flow deposits with any of the various stages in the evolution of the volcano.

**Historical eruptions**

There are no historical records of eruptions on Grenada. Anderson and Flett (1903) dismissed reports of an eruption of sulphurous vapours within the harbour of St. George’s in 1867 and 1902. They suggested that these were over-dramatised reports associated with eruptions elsewhere in the Lesser Antilles.

**Seismicity**

Grenada is located within a region that has a moderate seismic risk. There have been few earthquakes associated with volcanic activity on the island and most felt earthquakes have been a result of activity at the offshore Kick ‘em Jenny volcano located just 9 km to the north of the island (see chapter on Kick ‘em Jenny and Île de Caille in this volume).

**Geothermal activity**

Hot springs associated with Mt. St. Catherine are present at Hapsack Hall, Tufton Hall (St. Mark’s), Mt. Ellington, Peggy’s Whim, River Sallee, and Lavez Chaud. Most are sulphurous, and they emit hot water at temperatures <50 °C. Since the first records were made of these hot springs the temperatures appear to have decreased. Most of the springs issue from massively jointed igneous rock. At River Sallee the bedrock is obscured by sulphur deposits, while at Peggy’s Whim the basement rock is indurated mudflow deposits.

In 1985 a field visit to Mt. St. Catherine confirmed areas of geothermal activity in the upper tributaries of the St. Mark’s River in the Tufton Hall Estate (Shepherd 1985). This site is located on the northeastern side of the Mt. St. Catherine volcano and is characterised by alteration of the bedrock that is most extensive in the northern tributary. Here the rocks have been transformed into yellowish-white material by the actions of hot geothermal water and sulphurous gases. A visit to the area in April 2002 revealed that the region is still hydrothermally active with small fumarolic vents with yellow-brown sulphur deposits (Robertson and David 2002). Temperatures of the ground in the area ranged from 35.7 °C – 41.0 °C at the time.

**Potentially active volcanic centres**

*Mt. St. Catherine volcanic centre*

Mt. St. Catherine is the only polygenetic volcanic centre on Grenada that is considered to have the potential to erupt in the future.

**Past eruptive activity**

The only evidence of volcanic activity is the presence of numerous hot springs and periodic earthquake swarms. Based largely on field evidence, Arculus (1973) proposed that the first eruptions from Mt. St. Catherine probably occurred from a region near Plaisance and Malagon. Exposures of these early basalt flows can be found at River Sallee and Mt. Ellington. The lava flows were probably widespread but were overrun by more acidic lavas ranging from andesite to dacite in composition. As the volcanic edifice built up, basalts were again erupted along with some pyroxene-rich lavas. The latter deposits are found in the Crayfish area and around Peggy’s Whim.

The eruptive centre then probably migrated southwards towards the present location of Mt. St. Catherine. A thick sequence of andesitic and dacitic lava flows and pyroclastic flows were directed towards the northwest, forming the St. Marks Mountain and the local bedrock found in the rivers. Pyroclastic flows towards the west reached Gouyave and formed the western ridge of Mt. St. Catherine. They represent the best preserved and most voluminous of the pyroclastic flow deposits on Grenada. Andesitic and dacitic lava flows were extruded contemporaneously toward the east, forming the eastern ridges above St. James and Paraclete.
future eruptions on Grenada may involve activity at Mt. St. Catherine or single explosive eruptions from monogenetic volcanic centres similar to those found at Grand Etang and Lake Antoine. There appears to be a roughly linear trend in the location of the existing explosion craters and it is most likely that future activity of this type will follow this trend. It should be noted that the potential distribution of future explosion craters extends from Sauteurs in the north to St. George’s in the south of Grenada. Specific hazard maps for these types of eruptions cannot be drafted before the actual onset of eruptive activity since the future vent location of a monogenetic explosion crater cannot be predicted prior to the onset of precursory activity.

The evolution of Mt. St. Catherine has involved both explosive and effusive types of activity with the latter being the most recent type of activity exhibited. However, extensive erosion and lack of exposure has hindered attempts at determining the impact of eruptive activity in the past. Despite this it is possible to derive a number of eruptive scenarios for future activity and to use these to derive volcanic hazard maps. The presence of a dome within the breached crater of Mt. St. Catherine suggests that future magmatic activity will be initiated by steam emissions and possibly phreatic explosions prior to the actual effusion of magma at the surface.

Eruption Scenarios
Three scenarios have been inferred for Mt. St. Catherine. It is possible that these may occur as single independent events or they may simply be different stages in the evolution of a given crisis.

Scenario 1: Steam venting and phreatic explosions
The existence of several fumaroles and hot springs on the flanks of Mt. St. Catherine suggests the possibility of steam explosions, considered the most likely scenario for future activity at this volcano. This activity may take the form of simple steam venting or a more intense phreatic explosion from one of the existing soufrières located on Mt. St. Catherine or in the vicinity of Mt. Hope. Steam venting and/or phreatic explosions may be triggered by the heating of groundwater due to the upward movement of magma, or it may be a localised event due to blockage of a pre-existing fumarole. Blockage of steam vents may be caused by mass movement (landslides) following heavy rainfall, which may result in the build-up of sufficient pressure to cause minor explosions as the vents are cleared. Such explosions can cause localised dispersal of fine ash in the vicinity of the fumarole.

Upward movement of magma associated with an impending magmatic eruption could heat ground water and lead to vigorous steam venting and explosions on a much larger scale than those associated with blockage of fumaroles. The impact of this type of activity (mainly ash fall) is not expected to extend beyond 2 km of the source vent, and such explosions are more important as precursors to dome growth and explosive magmatic activity. Given their minor impact, the effects of steam venting and phreatic explosions are catered for in the hazard maps created for scenario 2.

Scenario 2: Effusive dome growth
The production of domes characterised the latest stage in the evolution of Mt. St. Catherine, and an effusive dome-building eruption is therefore considered to be the most likely scenario for a future magmatic eruption from Mt. St. Catherine. The type of activity exhibited by the ongoing eruption of the Soufrière Hills Volcano on Montserrat is a good model for what may occur in the event of this type of activity on Grenada. The distribution of ash fall and pattern of accumulated ash fall thickness experienced between 1995 and 2001 at this volcano (Norton et al. 2001) has been used to define a possible ash fall pattern for this type of eruption at Mt. St. Catherine. Dome collapse pyroclastic flows and surges are largely determined by the configuration of the summit area and the surrounding topography.

The first stage in the evolution of this type of activity is likely to be quite similar to that described in scenario 1. The movement of magma towards the surface and its eventual extrusion is likely to be accompanied by steam venting and phreatic explosions. Upon eventual extrusion dome growth will begin, leading to the formation of a rounded and potentially unstable pile of rock. With oversteepening of the sides of the dome, collapse will occur leading to the formation of dome collapse pyroclastic flows and surges and their accompanying ash clouds. Gravitational collapse of the steep sides of a growing volcanic dome may be caused by relatively minor disturbances such as a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bellevue Mt.</td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavez Chaud</td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tufton Hall</td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1903</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Tufton Hall</td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Mark’s River</td>
<td>Bubbling pools</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>40.0-50.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Upper tributary</td>
<td>Small vents</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>55.0-70.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>area)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>35.7-41.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. Ellington</td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peggy’s whim</td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1896</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water spring</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cold pool</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River Sallee</td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot spring</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ditch Vent</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

swarm of small earthquakes or heavy rainfall. It is not possible
to determine exactly where the vent or source for extrusion will
be, but it is likely to be in the vicinity of the breached summit
crater, possibly near the youngest dome at Mt. Hood. Continued
growth of the dome may lead to collapse of dome rocks into the
Grand Bras River, sending pyroclastic flows towards Mt. Horne
Estate. Collapse could also occur into the Grand River and so
may affect areas such as Bylands and Mirabeau Estate. These
early-formed dome collapse pyroclastic flows are unlikely to
reach Pearls or Grenville but this may change with continued
dome growth and gravitational collapse and potential infilling
of the river valleys leading from the volcano. If dome growth
continues, the edifice might become sufficiently large to enable
collapse of material into an increasing number of surrounding
valleys.

The pattern of pyroclastic flow and surge distribution is therefore
likely to be one in which the flows will begin within the open
end of the breached crater and then fan out into the surrounding
valleys. Given the topography, it is expected that dome collapse
will occur into the St. Marks River, then into Charlotte and later
into Balthazar and Great River.

Scenario 3: Explosive eruption
The early stages in the evolution of Mt. St. Catherine involved
explosive eruptions, which produced pyroclastic deposits that
are presently exposed along the west coast from Gouyave to
Victoria. Explosive eruptions do not appear to have occurred
within the more recent period of the volcano’s evolution and
so are considered to be the least likely future scenario. This is
also considered to be the worse case scenario for eruptions at
Mt. St. Catherine.

An explosive eruption is likely to be preceded by significant
precursory activity including earthquake swarms and ground
deformation. It may not be the first phase of an eruptive
sequence and could follow an ongoing effusive dome-forming
eruption. Whatever its origin, an explosive eruption will
involve the explosive fragmentation of magma and generation
of pyroclastic flows and surges by eruption column collapse.
Pyroclastic flows and surges produced by column collapse will
be much less confined by topography than those produced by
dome collapse as described in scenario 2. They will therefore
have an equal chance of entering any of the many valleys that
drain the summit of the volcano. However, the open eastern end
of the summit crater will remain the easiest and therefore most
favoured pathway for pyroclastic flow and surges. It is possible
that flows may go into the St. Patrick and Duquesne rivers to the
north, but they are more likely to be confined to the upper parts
of these valleys.

Pyroclastic flows and surges and mudflows will affect all areas
surrounding Mt. St. Catherine, with the towns of Grenville,
Victoria and Gouyave being possibly affected. Given the
distance from the summit, it is likely these hazards will affect
Victoria and Gouyave before they affect Grenville. It is unlikely
that flows will reach Sauteurs early on, but this may change if the
eruption continues and pyroclastic flow deposits fill the upper

EXPLOSION CRATERS ON GRENADE
Although Mt. St. Catherine is the only live volcano on
Grenada, the presence of a number of explosion craters
on the island suggests the possibility that such craters can
develop. Given our present understanding of the island’s
geology it is not possible to determine exactly where on
the island such explosion craters will develop in the future.
However, the existing craters define a NNE-SSW trend which
may be controlled by NE-SW faulting. It is possible that the
development of such craters may be tectonically controlled
and, as such, future activity of this type may follow a similar
trend. It is therefore possible to define a region where explosion
craters are most likely to develop. Future activity at explosion
craters is likely to involve the explosive ejection of ash and
rock fragments. The main hazards from such activity will be
ash falls and ballistic projectiles. Based on the distribution of
deposits from existing craters it is unlikely that the hazardous
impact will extend beyond a 3 km region around the vent.

Queens Park explosion crater, the site of the National Stadium
and a well populated area in Grenada
Volcanic hazard map for eruption Scenario 2: Effusive dome growth from Mt. St. Catherine
reaches of the St. Patrick river. The closer proximity of major population centres to the east and west of the volcano means that these areas will be most adversely affected.

The pattern of ash fall thickness and distribution exhibited during the 1902 eruption of the Soufrière in St. Vincent (Robertson 1992) has been used to define a possible ash fall pattern for this scenario.

Integrated Volcanic Hazard Zones
The hazard maps have been used to derive integrated volcanic hazard zones for future volcanic eruptions from Mt. St. Catherine. These zones attempt to give an indication of the overall hazard in different parts of the island so as to enable disaster officials to better prepare for future activity (including the assessment of volcanic risk).

The effects of each hazard have been combined for each scenario to produce maps that show zones of relative hazard from future volcanic eruptions on the island. These zones range from 1 to 4 with Zone 1 representing an area of highest hazard. The derivation of these zones are described below.

Zone 1 (red) includes all the areas of high pyroclastic flow and surge hazard, all lahar paths and the area within the 3 km radius ballistic projectile zone. This zone is also expected to experience >30 cm of ash fall and numerous lahars. It is probable that there will be total destruction of buildings and property in Zone 1. This zone will need to be evacuated prior to the onset of eruptive activity. Zone 2 (orange) includes all the areas of moderate pyroclastic flow and surge hazard and the area within the 5 km ballistic projectile zone. Ash fall thickness is expected to range from 10-30 cm within this zone. Zone 3 (yellow) is an area of moderate hazard whose boundary with Zone 4 is defined by the 5 cm ash isopach. This area is not expected to be affected by pyroclastic flows and surges, lahars or ballistic projectiles. In two areas the potential lahar paths extended north of this zone, and a 250 m buffer was applied to the river valleys in question so as to define the extension of Zone 3 into the area of low hazard. Zone 4 (green) is an area of low hazard in which little or no direct effect of the volcano will be felt. The area may experience periodic light ash fall, mainly in the event of the worse case explosive eruptive scenario. Ash fall thickness is expected to be <5 cm in this zone. Both zones 3 and 4 are not expected to be affected by pyroclastic flows and surges, lahars or ballistic projectiles.

Hazard from Kick ‘em Jenny
Eruptions of Kick ‘em Jenny submarine volcano to the north of the island could produce ash fall that may affect the northern parts of the Grenada including offshore islands. The effects of tsunamis may extend further south although these events are presently considered to be unlikely from Kick ‘em Jenny. Full details of the potential effects of this volcano on Grenada can be found in the Kick ‘em Jenny and Île de Caille chapter in this volume.

Conclusion
Future volcanic activity in Grenada is most likely to involve phreatic outbursts from fumaroles associated with Mt. St. Catherine volcano. However, plans should be made for the possibility of monogenetic craters developing anywhere along a northeast-southwest trending zone that extends the length of the country. In terms of magmatic eruptions, the most likely event will be an effusive dome-building eruption from Mt. St. Catherine, the only live volcano on the island. This eruption is likely to develop in a very similar manner to the 1995 eruption of the Soufrière Hills Volcano on Montserrat. The potential impact of hazardous volcanic activity on the island may necessitate evacuation of vulnerable communities on the eastern flank of the volcano and provision for further evacuation should the eruption continue. A much less likely but potentially more devastating eruption would involve explosive magmatic activity at the volcano.
Integrated volcanic hazard map for eruption Scenario 2: Effusive dome growth from Mt. St. Catherine
Integrated volcanic hazard map for eruption Scenario 3: Explosive eruption from Mt. St. Catherine
The integrated hazard maps presented in this chapter should be used by emergency management officials to draft contingency plans for the various scenarios that have been described. One of the key elements in future preparedness must be continuation of the volcano monitoring program. This will allow authorities to be forewarned of an impending crisis, and provide them with sufficient time to fine-tune response plans which should now be drafted.
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